



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,502	05/03/2001		Ming-Chieh Lee	3382-58659	8696
26119	7590	05/11/2006		EXAMINER	
KLARQUIS			CHEN, WENPENG		
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND, OR 97204				2624	,
				DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/849,502	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wenpeng Chen	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. uely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/17/2 This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-11,13-19,21,23-27 and 30-33 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-11,13-19,21,23-27 and 30-33 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original part of the correction of the original part of the original part of the correction of the original part of	vn from consideration. is/are rejected. relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the legan t	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
	armier. Note the attached Office	7.00.01.07.01111.1.7.0.7.02.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/849,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

Examiner's responses to Applicant's remark

1. Applicant's amendments have been considered. They overcome the following set

forth in paper #20050829 mailed on 9/12/2005:

-- objection to Claim 31.

2. Applicant argued that the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, Chan, and Russ is

improper because Chan reference describes median filter size and shape as relating to noise

filtering and spatial resolution, and Chan uses median filtering for image enhancement. Chan

does not relate median filter size and shape for image compression such as bit rate control. As a

consequence, Chan's teaching cannot be combined with the teaching of Astle and Sugiyama

which is used for bit rate control. The above argument is persuasive. New ground(s) of

rejections are provided below for the pending claims.

This rejection is non-final because new grounds of rejections are made to previously

presented Claims 9, 13, and 24.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2624

4. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-9, 11, 13-16, 18-19, 23-26, and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Astle (US patent 6,026,190 cited previously) in view of Sugiyama (US patent 5,089,889) and Glatt (US patent 5,926,209).

For Claims 1 and 5, 7, 30-31, Astle teaches, in a computer system with a video encoder, a method for regulating level of a buffer storing compressed video information for the video encoder, the method comprising:

- -- for Claim 1, determining an indicator value with a level of a buffer for a video encoder; (Fig. 3; column 6, line 1-12)
- -- for Claim 1, based at least in part upon the indicator value, adjusting median filtering of video information; (Fig. 3; column 6, lines 27-32; column 10, lines 55-65)
- -- for Claim 5, wherein the determining and the adjusting occur on a frame-by-frame basis; (column 12, lines 1-24)
- -- for Claim 7, wherein the median filtering the video information includes median filtering intra-coded pixel data; (column 5, lines 60-64; intra-frame encoding)
- -- for Claims 30-31, wherein the indicator value is based on at least in part on a perceptual quality measure. (column 6, lines 1-12; The buffer fullness determines a bitrate and quantization level which in the decoding side decide the quality of the recovered image perceived by an user. The buffer fullness is thus a perceptual quality measure.)

It is well known, in the inter-frame coding, that a prediction residual is supplied to DCT transform. However, Astle is not clear that, in an inter-frame coding mode, the digitized video image signal of 301 of Fig. 3 is a current frame or a prediction residual frame.

Sugiyama teaches inter-frame predictive encoding system and method for controlling data rate, comprising:

Art Unit: 2624

-- spatial filter means for filtering the prediction error signal to alter a frequency characteristic for rate control. (element 3 in Figs. 2-3; column 7, lines 17-48; column 8, lines 14-54)

It is desirable to control bit rate of a prediction error signal without significantly reducing the resolution of an image as pointed out by Sugiyama. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to apply Sugiyama's teaching to filtering a prediction error signal in Astle's system because the combination minimizes degradation of decoded inter-coded frames. The combination thus teaches:

-- wherein the median filtering the video information includes median filtering a prediction residual.

Although the combination of Astle and Sugiyama teaches "increasing the degree of compression by changing the low-pass filtering based upon an indicator value with a level of a buffer", it does not teach explicitly the feature related to (1) "changing the kernel of median filtering based upon the indicator value of the buffer," and (2) "kernel shape."

Glatt teaches adjusting the degree of compression by adjusting the coefficient, size and shape of a filter. (column 2, line 67 to column 3, line 15)

It is desirable to have flexibility to select various low-pass filtering with adjustable compression strength. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to apply Glatt's teaching to change the size and shape (size and shape of filter kernel) of Astle's median filter as well as the coefficients of Astle's median filter to modify the compression degree of the system/method taught by the combination of Astle and Sugiyama, because the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, Glatt provides flexibility in bit rate control.

Art Unit: 2624

For Claim 8, Astle also teaches a computer readable medium storing instructions for causing a computer programmed thereby to perform the method of claim 1. (column 4, lines 32-50; host memory 126)

For Claims 18-19 and 23, Astle also teaches a computer readable medium (host memory 126) storing instructions for causing a computer programmed thereby to perform a method of controlling bitrate of information in an encoder, the method comprising:

- -- for Claim 18, receiving a bitrate indicator for filtering a set of information, the received bitrate indicator indicating a bitrate goal for the set of information, the bitrate indicator based upon level of a buffer; (Fig. 3; column 6, line 1-12)
- -- for Claim 18, based at least in part upon the received bitrate indicator, adjusting kernel-based filtering of the set of information, wherein a kernel defines a neighborhood of values for the kernel-based filtering, wherein the filtering is median filtering (for Claim 19), (a) wherein the adjusting comprises changing the kernel based upon the received bitrate indicator; (column 10, lines 55-65; Fig. 3; column 6, lines 27-32; column 12, lines 18-40; column 11, lines 15; The kernel has a 3x3 area. The strength S is adjusted. The filter tap ratio defines the kernel)
- -- for Claim 23, wherein the set of information is for a video sequence, and wherein the receiving and the adjusting occur for each new set of information for the video sequence.

 (column 12, lines 1-24)

Astle further teaches the feature that:

-- wherein the low-pass filtering video information for rate control is also applied to interframe coding. (column 5, lines 45-64; column 12, lines 1-17; A previous frame is utilized to predict how to encode the current frame. Encoding system 100 may utilize a low-pass filter 303 to control the bit rate of the output bitstream representing compressed video frames. An interframe encoding includes a prediction residual. The filtering is applied before DCT transform.)

Art Unit: 2624

For Claims 13-16, the combination of Astle and Sugiyama also teaches a computer readable medium storing instructions for causing a computer programmed thereby to perform a method of regulating lossy compression of video information in a video encoder, the method comprising:

- -- during lossy compression of a set of video information, intermittently changing a kernel for filtering the set of video information, wherein the kernel defines a neighborhood of values for the filtering, the kernel selected from plural available kernels including at least a first kernel and a second kernel, the first kernel for decreasing quality and bitrate, and the second kernel for preserving quality and increasing bitrate, (a) wherein the changing is based upon a quality constraint for the set of video information, (b) wherein the changing is based upon a bitrate constraint for the set of video information, wherein the median filtering the video information includes median filtering a prediction residual; (Astle: Fig. 3; column 6, lines 27-32; column 10, lines 55-65; column 12, lines 18-40; column 11, lines 15; The filter tap ratio defines the kernel.)
- -- using the kernel to filter the set of video information. (Astle: column 5, lines 60-64; See discuss above.)

For Claim 24-26, the combination of Astle and Sugiyama also teaches, in a computer system, an encoder with a bitrate adaptive filter for filtering information, the encoder comprising:

- -- a bitrate adaptive filter for filtering information, wherein the bitrate adaptive filter is a bitrate adaptive low pass filter; (Astle: Fig. 3; column 6, lines 27-32; column 10, lines 43-54)
- -- a frequency transformer for transforming filtered information into the frequency domain; (column 5, lines 45-64; DCT)
- -- a quantizer for quantizing frequency transformed information, wherein the quantizer is a bitrate adaptive quantizer; (Astle: column 5, line 45 to column 6, line 12)

Art Unit: 2624

-- an entropy coder for entropy coding quantized information; (Astle: column 5, lines 45-64; run-length coding)

- -- a buffer for buffering entropy coded information, wherein the bitrate adaptive filter adjusts filtering in relation to level of the buffer; (Astle: Fig. 3; column 6, lines 1-12, 27-32; column 10, lines 55-65)
- -- wherein filtering the information includes filtering intra-coded pixel data and a prediction residual. (Astle: column 5, lines 60-64; column 5, lines 60-64; intra-frame encoding; An inter-frame encoding includes a prediction residual. See the above explanation.)

Therefore, the overall combination teaches:

- -- based at least in part upon the indicator value, adjusting median filtering of video information;
- -- wherein a kernel defines a neighborhood of values for the median filtering, and wherein the adjusting comprises changing shape of the kernel based at least in part upon the indicator value;
- -- wherein the changing comprises: if the indicator value is within a first range, selecting a first kernel; and if the indicator value is within a second range, selecting a second kernel;
- -- wherein the median filtering video information includes median filtering intra-coded pixel data;
- -- wherein the median filtering video information includes median filtering a prediction residual;
- -- during lossy compression of a set of video information, intermittently changing a kernel for filtering the set of video information, wherein the kernel defines a neighborhood of values for the filtering, the kernel selected from plural available kernels including at least a first kernel with a first kernel shape and a second kernel with a second kernel shape different than the

Art Unit: 2624

first kernel shape, the first kernel for decreasing quality and bitrate, and the second kernel for preserving quality and increasing bitrate;

-- wherein a kernel defines a neighborhood of values for the bitrate adaptive filter, and wherein the bitrate adaptive filter adjusts filtering by changing shape of the kernel.

Because Astle also teaches a video encoder with bit rate control, the teachings of the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, Chan, and Russ as discussed above evidently teach all features recited in Claims 9 and 11.

5. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Astle, Sugiyama and Glatt, and further in view of Russ ("The Image Processing Handbook," 2nd edition, CRC Press, 1994, pages 164-166.)

As discussed above, the combination of Astle, Sugiyama and Glatt teaches parental Claim 1.

However, the combination of Astle, Sugiyama and Glatt does not teach explicitly the feature related to the sorting and selecting steps recited in Claim 32.

Russ teaches median filters with various kernel size and shape, wherein the median filtering includes: sorting n input values, wherein n is an odd number greater than 2; and selecting an output value that is the middle value of the sorted input values. (Fig. 11, page 165; As also evident in Fig. 10, page 164 of Russ, the strength of filter (c) having 21 pixels of Fig. 11 is larger than that of filter (b) having 9 pixels of Fig. 11, because Fig. 10(d) corresponding to filter (c) had less noise dots than Fig. 10(c) corresponding to filter (b). Of course, both noises of Figs. 10(c) and 10(d) are smaller than that of Fig. 10(b) which corresponds to case without any filtering.)

It is desirable to have flexibility to using various median filtering processes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to apply the combined Russ' teaching in the method taught by the combination of Astle, Sugiyama and Glatt, because the overall combination of provides flexibility in bit rate control.

6. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (pages 2-3) in view of the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt.

The admitted prior art teaches an encoder for bit rate control wherein the information is for plural frames of a video sequence, and wherein the encoder drops information for one or more of the plural frames when the buffer approaches fullness. (page 2, line 16 to page 3, line 2)

However, the admitted prior art dose not teaches the features of the parent Claim 26 of Claim 27.

As discussed above, the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt teaches all the features recited for Claim 26.

It is desirable to maintain quality of a video as much as possible. One way to achieve this object is to minimize the number of dropped frames. With the bit rate control based on adaptive filtering, there is less chance the buffer will be full. As a consequence, there will be fewer frames to be dropped. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to apply the bit rate control taught by the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt to the encoder of the admitted prior art to further control the generated bits, because the combination maintains better quality of a video.

7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (pages 2-3) in view of the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt.

Art Unit: 2624

The admitted prior art teaches an encoder for bit rate control wherein the information is for plural frames of a video sequence, and wherein the encoder drops information for one or more of the plural frames when the buffer approaches fullness. (page 2, line 16 to page 3, line 2)

However, the admitted prior art dose not teaches the features of the parent Claim 9 of Claim 10.

As discussed above, the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, Chan and Russ teaches all the features recited for Claim 9.

It is desirable to maintain quality of a video as much as possible. One way to achieve this object is to minimize the number of dropped frames. With the bit rate control based on adaptive filtering, there is less chance the buffer will be full. As a consequence, there will be fewer frames to be dropped. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to apply bit rate control taught by the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt to the encoder of the admitted prior art to further control the generated bits, because the overall combination maintains better quality of a video.

8. Claims 4 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt, and further in view of Fukuda (US patent 5,625,714 cited previously.)

The combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt as discussed above teaches the parental Claims 1 and 18. Although the combination teaches "changing the strength of median filtering based upon the determined level of the buffer", it does not teach the feature related to "the adjusting comprises changing a number of times for the median filtering of the video information."

Art Unit: 2624

Fukuda teaches "adjusting the strength of an overall median filtering with changing a number of times for small-size median filtering." (column 18, lines 1-21)

It is desirable to have flexibility to select various ways for adjusting the strength of median filters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add Fukuda's approach to change times of small-size median filtering as a way for changing the strength of an overall median filtering taught by the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt, because the combination provides flexibility in bit rate control.

9. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt in view of Sun et al. (US patent 5,969,764 cited previously.)

The combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt as discussed above teaches the parental Claim 13. However, it does not teach the feature related to video object.

Sun teaches coding video objects. (column 4, lines 24-51; VO)

It is desirable to extend rate control to various kinds of image compression. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to apply the bit rate control approach taught by the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt to control bit rate of coding video objects taught by Sun, because the combination extend Astle's application to compression using video objects. The advantages of using Astle's approach are discussed in columns 1-2 of Astle.

10. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt in view of Mori et al. (US 6,556,925.)

Art Unit: 2624

The combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt as discussed above teaches the parental Claim 1. However, it does not teach the feature related to the median filter with an even number of input values.

Mori teaches median filtering with odd and even numbers of values,

-- wherein the median filtering includes: sorting n input values, wherein n is an odd number greater than 2; and selecting an output value that is the middle value of the sorted input values. (column 9, line 66 to column 10, line 5)

It is desirable to extend rate control for various kinds of median filters including those of even values. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add even-number median filters to as one approach for the bit rate control taught by the combination of Astle, Sugiyama, and Glatt, because the combination broadens the application of the method taught by the combination.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wenpeng Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7431. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications. TC 2600's customer service number is 571-272-2600.

Art Unit: 2624

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Wenpeng Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2624

May 8, 2006

Wennel